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Abstract

Customary death ritual and traditional practice have continued for the Māori (indigenous) people of Aotearoa/New Zealand, despite intensive missionary incursion and the colonial process. This paper critically considers what occurs when the deceased is different, in a most significant way. What happens when you die—and you are Māori and any one, or a combination, of the following: a queen, takatāpui;1 butch, like that, gay, she-male, lesbian, transsexual, a dyke, intersex, tomboy, kamp, drag, homosexual, or just queer? Who remembers you and how? Same sex relationships today are still discouraged or denied, although traditional chant and Māori visual narratives record such liaisons and erotic experience as joyously normal (Te Awekotuku, 2005). And yet some people choose to remain in the closet. In this way, we open up a space for dialogue about such matters in our intimate and kin communities.
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Introduction

Bereavement events for Māori occur in a larger context. Māori are the indigenous people of Aotearoa/New Zealand. Despite intensive disruption during the colonial period, our death

---

1 We use the term takatāpui broadly to refer to people in relationships other than heterosexual (Te Rangikāheke, n.d; Te Awekotuku, 2001).
rituals and traditional practice have endured. As a tribally organised society (cf. Te Awekotuku, 1981), each tribe is comprised of hapū - allied sub-tribal groups that through genealogy and customary practices function to draw extensive networks of whānau - extended families, together as a political and caring community. The cultural heart of hapū is the marae - a community meeting place, often with elaborately carved buildings that symbolise the identity of those whānau that make up the hapū. As a functional environment, marae are usually comprised of a wharenui - sleeping house, and dining room, kitchen, toilet and shower facilities. Most marae can sleep at least 100 people, and during the day serve to receive many more. Not far from the marae, there is usually an associated ariki - cemetery, where ancestors have been interred. It is to these tribal lands and marae that Māori traditionally return to conduct their tangi rituals (Nikora, Te Awekotuku, & Tamanui, 2013).

As we have noted elsewhere (Nikora & Te Awekotuku, 2013), tangi ritual has retained its significance and structure since the first European explorers arrived on our shores in 1769. It is the most resilient Māori ritual of all, and has adapted, innovated and endured. Death rites follow a time-honoured pattern. Although there is some variance, the familiar sequence is: a) the person dies and kin are advised; b) the ritual mourning space is made ready; c) the deceased is prepared for viewing and lies in state for about three days with attending kin and spouses keeping vigil; d) waves of visiting guests pay their respects through tears, oration and song; e) and these encounters repeat until interment. Within this sequence are specific roles that are accorded certain privileges or mourning restrictions and are occupied by the immediately bereaved, the extended whānau, and members of the broader community of interest. When such privileges or restrictions are not bestowed or expected confusion can arise and offence or exclusion sometimes inferred, a dynamic currently under exploration by PhD student Kiri Edge (e.g., Edge, Nikora, & Rua, 2011).

When a Māori person dies, their remains are expected to be returned and mourned within the hapū group they were born into (Nikora et al., 2013). Given that Māori can claim belonging to multiple hapū or sub-tribal groups, sometimes conflict arises requiring attention and negotiation, and this is usually worked out early in the mourning period (Nikora, Masters-Awateere, & Te Awekotuku, 2012). The interests of the spouse or partner and children are also considered in this process but if you are takatāpui, this fact is often overlooked and mourning rituals continue in a way dominated by heterosexual normative assumptions. The recent passage in New Zealand of The Civil Unions Act (2004) and the Marriage Amendment Act (2013) extends the same rights and privileges of heterosexual partnerships to those of takatāpui (New Zealand Legislation last modified November 29, 2013, http://www.legislation.govt.nz/). These Acts have significant implications for mourning ritual in the Māori world, in particular, the extent to which broader communities of interest acknowledge the fact of the deceased’s takatāpui lifestyle, communities and significant relationship(s).

The authors of this paper were unable to find any significant literature relating to the mourning of takatāpui people in the Māori world, or for the mourning of gay people in New Zealand. There is some work in the international literature theorising the mourning experiences of unacknowledged gay partnerships, the most significant, in our view, is Kenneth Doka’s 1989 book entitled Disenfranchised Grief: Recognizing Hidden Sorrows. Resulting from the sometimes hidden or in-the-closet nature of gay relationships is the complex of disenfranchised grief, an idea that Doka describes as a loss that is not or cannot be openly acknowledged, publicly mourned or socially supported. He argues that if grief is not socially sanctioned or even known about, the grieving person receives less support from others (Doka, 1989). In our experience, this also applies during the end-of-life period as well as during the process of death rituals when the wishes and sensitivities of a grieving partner may not be seen to be as paramount as their heterosexual counterparts or even acknowledged, or in many cases, known of.

**Our Study**

Our overall research programme (Nikora & Te Awekotuku, 2013) is concerned with all aspects of tangi, of our indigenous Māori institution of
mourning. Because ritualised roles are gendered and age defined, this naturally has been a topic of investigation, for example, who carries coffins, who digs graves, who cooks, who orates, who recites, who weeps and wails, who chants, who gets attention, and who does not. While we are able to discern some complexity and variation in gender roles between different tribal groups, our focus on sexuality helps to both complicate and disrupt the apparent natural order of tangi even further. In a world where change is the only constant, how and why we change needs to be better understood so that we may be more conscious and present to the institutions and rituals we take part in.

From a range of qualitative sources like our own participation and observations, media reports and publicly available images, we have compiled below case studies of those Māori death rituals enacted for three takatūpui people. The deceased people were all known personally to us, and either or both of us attended their tangi. The journals of the second author, who has diligently kept a personal reflective record over many decades, were particularly useful for this purpose. The details of the deceased, the settings in which they were mourned and other identifiable information have either been removed or made anonymous.

To further remove the presented case studies from the factual details of a deceased person's story, we have fused and compressed detail from many experiences into one. The case studies should be read as a compilation of experiences that serve to highlight important themes and issues for thought and reflection.

Our method might be best described as drawing on all the tools used in ethnographic fieldwork (Denzin & Lincoln, 2005) but is much broader than this as it also includes insights we have gained from the work and experiences of our students, discussions with our colleagues and debates with our own family members. We have brought what we have learned about death rituals for takatūpui people into wānanga, a process of laying out, of deconstructing, analysing and reconstructing in order to make whole again. It is the whole that we lay out again in this paper for the same wānanga process to occur again.

We present the case studies below and follow with a brief discussion of arising patterns and issues.

**Case Study One - Al Koru**

*Civil servant, musician, weaver*

Al grew up in a remote village, and joined the army as a teenager in the 1950's. From the military, she entered the civil service in Auckland, the largest city in New Zealand, and she purchased her own home. She was a handsome, musical, chunky butch, and an articulate leader who cofounded an innercity gay women's social and sports club. In late middle age, she settled down with Pani whom she was with for the years preceding her death.

At this time, she began to reconnect with her father's community, a stronghold of traditional textile arts, and her innate weaving abilities blossomed, leading to greater involvement. When in later life she became ill, she returned to her Auckland city house much closer to health services, and Pani moved in to care for her. The family rallied, but siblings and their children began to remove chattels, stripping the house. They ignored Pani, and refuted her rights to...
anything. She was defenceless, and thus seen to have none.

On death, Al was taken to her mother’s village where she had grown up. Takatāpui friends and their partners, former lovers and workmates accompanied her home to this isolated marae. They observed the encounter rituals, kept vigil but were refused speaking rights. Pani’s special place sitting by the coffin as the bereaved widow was cruelly denied her. Many were distressed, especially her art-making kin on her father’s side, many of whom were openly takatāpui or had gay children. They argued for Al’s return to her father’s village. Although they had the privilege of dressing her for her final journey in a smart roll neck sweater, dark jacket, sharply pressed trousers and polished lace-up shoes, their arguments were ignored.

The speeches were perfunctory, often referring to a pretty schoolgirl of fifty years gone, who was good at sport, and went away. Her female friends and lover sat silently, barely tolerated by the locals. However, at the grave side, this changed. A prominent and sensitive male elder and close kinsman of Al’s father intervened, opening up the opportunity for Al’s friends to pay their respects. As she was about to be buried, the gang from the city and from her father’s village began their own chant narratives, orations and gift offerings to send her on her way. They reassured her that Pani would be looked after, and they remembered Al’s remarkable life.

At the hākari, the funerary feast, the same senior male relative, an ordained minister, was invited by the locals to bless the food. He took the opportunity to acknowledge Al’s community, and honour the nature of her life. In both Māori and English, he declaimed, “How can you deny your sister, your aunty, your cousin? How can you deny what she was, and how she lived, and not recognise her as a good person, a strong person who was loved and admired by many friends who were with her until the end, and have brought her back to ‘this’ marae.”

Case Study Two – Koko

Language advocate, TV presenter, AIDS/HIV educator

He was called Koko and had his grandfather’s name and everyone just knew he was ‘like that’. It was undeniable. Koko had a successful career, first in the public service and later in television. He was an entrepreneur and staunch advocate for Māori language retention. With his European partner, he purchased a grand house which became the site of many political and pleasurable encounters. In the late 1990’s, Koko returned home to the remote valley of his birth. He was HIV positive and wanted to spend time in his tribal community. He had not lived at home for any significant period since leaving in the 1960’s, although he and his partner were frequent visitors. At home, he spent time as a health educator visiting local schools telling young people about AIDS and safe sex practices. And as his illness progressed, he became more and more conscious of the need to protect himself from others and, for him, the very real potential of catching some infectious disease like the flu. He explained to his audiences his protective strategies, how they may be seen, and their potential impact on Māori rituals of greeting and encounter.

Koko was 48 when he died. During his life, he freely told people that he was fortunate to have a stable relationship, and a very loving and supportive whānau. And this feeling was apparent during the mourning period after he passed. This occurred in two settings, the first at an urban marae in Auckland where members of the gay community, his work colleagues and tribal relatives came together to memorialise his life. He was then transferred into the care of his own kin who returned him to the sacred space of his marae of origin and traditional hapū community.

In the traditional environment, very little crossover occurred between his tribal and takatāpui communities. This reflected a compartmentalised life. In oratory, few if any explicit references were made to him as a takatāpui man, to his having AIDS, to his partners or friends except by his colleagues from the AIDS Foundation, but they too adapted their performance to the conservative norms of the mourning space. There was no air of flamboyancy or effeminate gesturing or innuendo suggestive of what, in this context of family, had become a coded life story. And the symbols were there in plain view. One was the rich quilt draped across his casket. This powerful momento mori crafted by ‘his friends’
was a celebration of Koko’s life as yet another lost to the AIDS pandemic.

In the context of his kin, the theme of his passing was whānau. The night before his burial, customarily a night of intimacy, oratory and song was enthusiastically enjoyed in remembered moments, crying and laughter. To an outsider without knowledge of Koko’s life, this might present as ordinary, expected and unremarkable. Yet there was a strange unspoken of absence. There were no distant relatives or friends or prior or present boyfriends in the formal house of mourning. It was just Koko’s immediate kinship group, which included the writers. Maybe those absent had already shared their time, in Auckland, where Koko had lived his life, serving to keep these groups compartmentalised as they were during his life. And perhaps these circumstances created space, as his senior brother observed “that it was only family there.”

Case Study Three – Casper
Bus driver, trade unionist, lover of women

Casper grew up in a rural seaside community a few hours from the Auckland metropolis. As a wiry androgynous teenager she moved away for work and adventure in the 1960s. She raised a son, and then fell in love with Mere, whose colourful femininity countered Casper’s well groomed butch style. They were together for 28 years, enjoying their comfortable inner city home, grandchildren and jobs. Casper drove the larger urban buses, and was a union delegate respected by all her co-workers, especially Māori. She initiated meetings on urban marae to address Māori issues appropriately. After a brief and sudden illness, Casper passed away in hospital with Mere and close butch buddies all at the bed side. The loss was devastating. Casper had mentioned the city marae where they’d had Māori union meetings; someone made inquiries, and the marae people opened their doors, and prepared the ritual space. Casper arrived at the richly carved house in a splendid casket, covered with a fine flax cloak. She was dressed in a crisp white shirt, silk paisley cravat under a tailored waistcoat, with trousers and shoes impeccable.

Māori rituals of encounter were observed as Casper was taken inside; these are clearly gendered roles, women chanting and wailing, men performing orations and issuing orders. The family and friends settled around Casper, and the local ritualists then handed over the ceremonial responsibilities of the paepae, or orators’ speaking bench, to them. This is an urban, city-based marae, where rituals and ceremony are flexible. After the first meal was served and enjoyed, the local ritualists returned to their nearby homes. This enabled the Māori takatāpui women with ritual skills and confidence to assume particular roles; and they knew that Casper would’ve relished it! Women not only chanted and wailed, but they also spoke from the paepae. They described Casper’s busy life and work to the visiting mourners, many of whom were takatāpui of different shades and styles, over the following two days. They connected various genealogies to those who came in, and they deftly wove together bonds of kinship, love, and compassion.

Dressed in copious black, Mere graciously received words of consolation while being reminded of her partner’s mischief and sense of humour. The tangi was about Casper. On the main road outside, passing buses slowed, paused, some tooted their horns mournfully, and then continued on their busy urban routes.

In the middle of the second night, after a lively pō whakamutunga or final farewells, the doors flew open, and a male elder strewn with green garlands stomped in, followed by three large men. The lush greenery identified him as from the far north, where Casper had family affiliations. He bellowed that he’d come to take the relation home right now! The principal women’s ritualist rose to the occasion and bellowed “Who and what are you”? He roared back “I’ve come to take my cousin home. Now!” The plan was for Casper to return to the north in the morning, to be buried with her mother. That had been decided, and the woman ritualist serenely reassured the raiding party that the family had already agreed to honour the ties to the north. Casper’s adult son was sitting with Mere, his other mother. He duly invited his uncles to stay the night, enjoy a good breakfast, and then escort Casper home.

Casper lived, worked, loved and dressed as a man. Often Casper was referred to as ‘he’, and ‘him’, even by close friends and family, and other butches. Casper was farewelled as a takatāpui, with full Māori mourning ritual, and the spirit of
Casper as Casper was honoured. And the bus company she worked for donated two vehicles; one filled with ‘straights’, or Casper’s co-workers, neighbours and friends; the other roaring with the guitars of kāmp girls who sang their good mate and buddy all the way home.

Conclusions

Death rituals are about the deceased in as much as there is a spirit to care for, a body to dispose of, and a person’s life to be remembered. They are also about healing relational communities disrupted by death, and more particularly, relieving the burden of grief from those closely related to the deceased like partners, children, grandchildren, parents, siblings and intimate friends. Our case studies highlight the persistent value placed by Māori on the custom of returning the deceased to their ancestral marae to be mourned and urupū to be interred. This practice enhances kinship togetherness, belonging and continuity (Nikora et al., 2013). The case study about Casper, in contrast to those of Al and Koko, demonstrates how Māori death rituals and the institution of tangi can respond to the sexuality and lived lives of takatāpui people and the bereaved takatāpui community without compromising the social cohesiveness of the traditional and familial community. There is evidence to suggest that the relationships between both communities may well be strengthened and purposefully united as was the case in Casper’s passing. Such gatherings are not without their challenges; these may be negotiated in the manner of Koko’s ceremony, with two separate locations in which rituals of farewell are offered and observed. With legislative reform, and the gathering momentum of support and social recognition, we anticipate a time in which Casper’s model of mourning will prevail.

Having presented these case studies, we welcome this opportunity to open a conversation and to increase dialogue and research activity across our indigenous worlds, where diverse sexualities continue to enrich our lives. We remain aware that the answer to solving the issues of exclusion and marginalisation presented by difference and raised in this paper resides at the intersection of our intimate and kinship communities of whānau, hapū and iwi. Both communities influence takatāpui people as they live, and as they die. Both communities must engage the task of caring for the bereaved and for the spirit. It is in this work that we offer care for each other and nurture the worlds we are a part of. While New Zealand law legitimates same sex relationships and attempts to engender an inclusive society, it is at the interpersonal, relational and community levels that people are made to feel included, appreciated and celebrated, or rejected, devalued, and ignored.

Indigenous death rituals have evolved over many generations. They are therapeutically efficacious and present a place for us to present and transform our grief and those we love, so we can move forward with them continuously in our lives - remembering, sharing and memorialising (Nikora & Te Awekotuku, 2013). The health of our death rituals directly contributes to the wellbeing of the bereaved and their communities of interest. Transforming grief is the goal of tangi. It serves to invest time, activity, people and experience between the point of death, and the eventual return to everyday life. These are the reasons why we should hold to timeless institutions such as tangi, but at the same time, we also need to ensure that they remain responsive to our needs, in our time. Nowhere, in our knowledge of tangi ritual, could we find ‘exclusion clauses’. The ritual of tangi is without prejudice. There is no book of instructions that dictate who can and who cannot. Our institution is non-discriminating. It is about people – what they think, say and do. While we are encouraged to respond to the deceased, we do so in very gendered ways. Ours is a gendered culture that prescribes different roles to men and women but sometimes has problems with the spaces in between these poles. And this is where it gets both messy and exciting because the mere existence of takatāpui serves to challenge these ‘taken for granted’ ways of seeing and being. Death disturbs the assumed natural order. It confuses how we are to be, and for some, it is easier to ignore reality and enact a templated ritual rather than one that is fluid, responsive and life affirming. It is not so much the ritual that requires change, change needs to come from amongst those enacting the ritual - from people, in conversation with each other, in community, in whānau, hapū and iwi. Our tangi institution presents time and opportunity for the whole
spectrum of ‘talk’ - formal, conversational, functional, performative, comedic, mundane, intimate, and so on. With the leadership of wise elders, the guiding importance of our own indigenous values, peaceful and respectful dialogue might allow us to return to our origins and intersectionalities, with people across time and place (Nikora et al., 2013). This paper is an invitation to a conversation to create the world anew. Tihei mauriora!
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